

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 12 JUL 2004

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT 21075Y	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US03/10632	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 April 2003 (04.04.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 April 2002 (08.04.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 31/50, 31/53, 31/495 and US Cl.: 514/ 243, 248, 249		
Applicant MERCK & CO., INC.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 20 October 2003 (20.10.2003)	Date of completion of this report 24 June 2004 (24.06.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid Telephone No. 703-308-1235 <i>Janice Ford</i>

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US03/10632

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
pages 1-156 as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
pages 157-164 as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
pages none, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages 1-5, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☒ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☒ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages none
- ☒ the claims, Nos. none
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig none

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International appl. No.
PCT/US03/10632

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)

Claims 1-55 YES
Claims NONE NO

Inventive Step (IS)

Claims 1-55 YES
Claims NONE NO

Industrial Applicability (IA)

Claims 1-55 YES
Claims NONE NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Upon further consideration of the cited references, the Examiner respectfully submits that:

Claims 1-55 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating cancer in a mammal by administering to the mammal an effective amount of an Akt selective inhibitor (Akt1, Akt2 or Akt3), wherein inhibitory efficacy is dependent on the hinge region or pleckstrin homology domain. The prior art references appear to be directed toward the use of antisense technology in inhibiting the expression of Akt-1 by targeting nucleic acids encoding Akt-1. The references do not appear to teach or fairly suggest the use of the claimed specific inhibitors of the Akt itself. The prior art also does not disclose or fairly suggest the method identifying a selective inhibitor of Akt isoforms or the claimed modified Akt isoforms.

Claims 1-55 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), because the claimed methods can be used in industry.

NEW CITATIONS